

**Petherick Michael (MOJ)**

**From:** Sim Val (MOJ)  
**Sent:** Monday, 7 August 2000 9:37 am  
**To:** Petherick Michael (MOJ)  
**Subject:** RE: PETER ELLIS MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

Yes - I agree with you. We will need to get clear undertakings from Prof Davis and his research assistant about the use they can make of the tapes I'm happy for you to reply.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Petherick Michael (MOJ)  
**Sent:** Monday, 7 August 2000 09:26  
**To:** Sim Val (MOJ)  
**Subject:** FW: PETER ELLIS MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

Val: See attached email messages from Sir Thomas and Prof Davies. Shall I reply to Sir Thomas, or do you want to? His suggestion to wait at least a day or two for the replies from Dr Sas and Prof Warren seems sensible. I also thought we should take up Prof Davies with his provisional timeline of November. Finally, clause 13B of the Evidence (Videotaping of Child Complainant) Regulations seems broad enough to allow Prof Davies' graduate assistant to view the tapes and transcripts, provided that the sole purpose is to assist with the provision of advice about Mr Ellis's application for a pardon, and that any of the material is not employed at a later stage for another purpose (eg publication).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Thomas Eichelbaum  
**<mailto:[S]**  
**Sent:** Friday, August 04, 2000 9:26 PM  
**To:** Petherick Michael (MOJ)  
**Subject:** Fw: PETER ELLIS MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

Michael, please see the attached message from Prof Davies.

I guess we should wait a day or 2 for other replies?

I'm sorry, I can't conveniently ring you for a few days owing to a sitting of the GM Commission. Would appreciate a message from you meantime.

Thanks for the further tape.

T.E.

**From:** Professor GM Davies] <gmd@leicester.ac.uk <mailto:gmd@leicester.ac.uk>>  
**To:** Thomas Eichelbaum  
**Date:** Friday, 4 August 2000 09:04 PM  
**Subject:** Re: PETER ELLIS MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

>Dear Judge Eichelbaum  
>Many thanks for your message. Lets try for the end of November. Once  
>my current project is completed I should be in a much clearer  
>position to confirm this date, but let us procede on this assumption.  
>I will obviously be much clearer about the work involved when I see  
>the material. Would there be any objection to me sharing the tapes  
>with my graduate assistant? I have in mind her organising and  
>indexing the material and typing drafts rather than actually writing  
>any of the report.  
>