stated that the father of another complainant at trial, had provided her with that address.²³⁷

32. underwent Specialist Services Unit interviews on 4, 5 and 6
August. At trial accepted that she had detailed conversations with on 3, 4, and 5 August and beyond. For example, at the depositions hearing indicated that upon return from his interview on 5 August she discussed further allegations with him 239:

Q. "And did you then say to him tell me more about the building with the trap"
A. "That's correct, but vill often say to me have more to tell. You ask me some questions."

Q. "And when he would say that, would you ask questions"

A. "Yes"

33. Notwithstanding this form of questioning allegations in the following manner²⁴⁰:

recorded

I that 20 men were all dressed in black and were in a "He said to big circle. They learnt what to do from a piece of paper and a photo clipped onto a board (standing on legs). He drew a picture of the board with the photo clipped onto it and of the big circle all the men were in. All the men had black clothes and white ties on and chains around their necks like this (drew picture of "O" and "X" in a circle) and they swung chairs around. They had cowboy hats on and they sang "I'm a cowboy". They had swords in their hands All the children had to take their clothes off and they were all in a circle inside the big circle. was made penis and was made to kick and punch other kids was made to suck Sucked hit the vagina was made to kiss vagina. was made to kiss · vagina. had to suck venis.

Women - Peter's mother and a woman called d some others were in the circle dressed in white and a black ties. All the men in a circle were skipping around in a circle. There were about eight men with guitars playing and singing outside the circle."

34. It would appear that informed Detective Eade of these allegations immediately.²⁴¹

35. On the night of 4 August and attended a support group meeting²⁴², leaving in the company of his two elder brothers.²⁴³ It would appear that vas questioned by his

²³⁷Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p175 ²³⁸Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p178

²³⁹Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 444-445

²⁴⁰ Source: Notes of Conversation made by

²⁴¹Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p447

²⁴²Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p176

²⁴³ Source: Notes made by !

brothers, a description of which was given to the Specialist Services Unit the following day by parents²⁴⁴:

"lots of men in other building black suits, white ties sticks up the bottom - at Peters There's a school room there "after leading questions"

- 36. recorded in her notes that went on to allege activities involving Hereford Street, Gaye Marie and Jan taking their clothes off and behaving sexually together, photo's being taken, kicking of the children, Peter putting and in an oven, while it was turned on and steaming, being tied up, needles into the children's hands and threats that, "Peter said he would burn and kill the children if they told."²⁴⁵
- 37. Evidence was given at Depositions of the discussions that took place with before and after each interview²⁴⁶;
 - Q. "u [reminded] yr son that he had another i view coming up"
 - A. "yes i'm sorry yes he obviously with the notes he was having an i/view the next day. we went over what he was going to talk abt."
 - Q. "was this typical of the conversations u had each day around the time of those aug i/views"
 - A. "yes generally what happened was wild say I've got an i/view tomorrow haven't and I'd say yes do u feel okay abt that, he wild say yes I feel fine abt telling lots of things in the i/view. He said u talk to me abt it lets talk abt it and we wild often do that bef he went in, he wild talk to me"
 - Q. "u wld acknowledge that at times it was u that [brought] up the fact he had an i/view x coming up"
 - A. "Yes"
 - Q. "in some of those discussions u said or asked him at various points of the discussion whether or not he had anymore to say"
 - A("yes sometimes I'd say that"
 - Q vi told him how important it was for him to get everything out"
 A, ves"

38. An example of this pre-interview preparation is found in notes of her questioning of on 10 August:

"Monday 10th August at 7.10 pm:

I reminded he had another interview tomorrow. He said yes he knew and that he would have lots to say. We went over the issue of taper money and about the old man in the building. I then asked if he had more to say and how important it is to have all the awful stuff out rather than kept a secret said he had more to say."

39. On 11 August 1992 Specialist Services Unit scheduled for that day was cancelled because of the concerns held by Ms Sidey, the

-112-

VOLUME 4

²⁴⁴ Source: Notes made by

²⁴⁵ Source: Notes made by

²⁴⁶Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 456

interviewer, as to how much questioning had taken place of 247

- 40. Ms Sidey gave evidence at the depositions hearing as to the conversation she had with parents²⁴⁸:
 - Q. "..were you told by the parents as noted here they had reminded the child of another intro and gone over tapes, dollars and old man."
 - A. "yes"
 - Q. "also made note talked of visiting a church had been asked if he went to this, the notes you [have] made the parents had talked to him of a church and asked if he had gone to it."
 - A. "yes"
 - Q. "and that later on the parents you have noted the [parents] said to the child, think you have more to tell, did what ell and j with a and d have to do it with two other children's names, can you tell us what you understood the [parents] had been putting to the child at that stage"
 - A. "they felt that he had been engaged in the [alleged] incident of that was related to what [happened] in the church with another child."
 - Q. "who had raised that incident"
 - A. "he had, this complainant had said that other children, alleged other children had been engaged in ceremony at the church, his parents felt that he had been as well with another child and they asked, they suggested to him that they thought he had more to tell."
 - Q. "and that he had been involved in such an incident as he had described about other children"
 - A. "yes"
 - Q. "and that they asked him whether he had been to a grave [yard] and he said yes"
 - A. "yes"
 - Q. "in response to being asked, he said that he had been put into a cage and put into ground and covered with cloth and with a cat and there was a cage in the hole and dirt over it."
 - A. "yes",
 - Q. "and he had told his mother that peters friend Andrew was buried in the ground and that they dug up other coffins, saw dead people, said one was jesus and he is a farse hold, dug him to put knives up his bum"
 - A. yes, I think the bit about said one was Jesus and he is a f arse hold, the indication was that someone else had said that and I think it was peter"
 - Q. "you have made a note the child was asked leading questions at this point" A/"yes"
 - Q and he said other creche teachers and peter knew"
 - A. "other creche teachers and peters mum"
 - then there is a note peter squeezed a mouse until it died, j has done this in real life about 1 or 2 years ago, what are you noting there, you enquired as to whether this [had happened] in real life"
 - A. "either I would have [enquired] that or had he seen that, I must have enquired did they know of him doing that himself or having seen anyone else than peter doing it"
 - Q. "did you get the impression it had happened in real life with another child"
 - A. "I either got the impression he may have acted out the same thing or they just told him that spontaneously"

-113-

VOLUME 4

²⁴⁷Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p56

²⁴⁸ Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p56-57

- 41. As a result of the cancellation of this interview wrote to the Police and the Specialist Services Unit recording the advice that she received from Ms Sidey, and her reactions to this advice:
 - "(a) Leading questions were asked and possible answers given to
 - (b) That liked to please people, please Sue and that there was a possibility of filling in answers
 - (c) There was a 'lack of emotive' aspect to his disclosure
 - (d) That answers could have been given due to his 'life experience' That this would be picked up in Court by defence."

...

"Unfortunately I am at (sic) the belief that professionals can be more damaging to families (who might I add are in grief) because of their urgent need to maintain "credibility".

In case you need reminding, I wish to point out that + I are the ones who Got to talk in the first place and got him in a calm + secure disposition to enable him to sit in a room with a stranger, and with a video reliving the horror he went through at his time at Civic Creche.

It appears to me that the most important ingredient has been lost by the socalled professionals and by the Rolice. That being, the belief of the children or should I say

42. continued in her

"Finally I would like to know why it is 'unacceptable' to have Pamela Hudson over to N.Z. to discuss Ritualistic abuse with councillors (who are dealing with Creeke children and parents, and why she cannot be used as an expert in Court in the same manner as Karen Zealous? ???

As you are aware the information coming up (particularly from so elearly) is over the top stuff but it is important to remember that the abusers work on the kids in such a manner to make it unbelievable or contradictory if disclosed. E G men in ring dressed in black, women in white skipping in a circle singing "Ba-Ba black sheep + ring a ring a rosey". Information written by Pamela Hudson explains this very clearly.

Because Vask questions and raise issues, and refuse to <u>not</u> talk to a select few parents, I believe I am considered a 'problem' - fobbed off and told I have no right to intervene with the Police's job. "

At the depositions stated that she came across the name and writings of Pamela Hudson from a reference given to her by counsellor and from the mother of stated that she had asked for Pamela Hudson to be brought over to New Zealand because she was concerned that there was noone in New Zealand with the expertise to deal with children and families who had been through ritualistic abuse.²⁴⁹

²⁴⁹Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 470

44. Dr Karen Zelas, the psychiatrist whose role was both to supervise the Specialist Services Unit interviews and to provide expert evidence for the prosecution at trial, reviewed the interviews of ... Dr Zelas made comment on the credibility and risk of parental influence and indicated what she thought would be useful avenues to "follow up". As to credibility, Dr Zelas stated²⁵⁰:

"It is clear that parents elicited disclosures of abuse by Peter ELLIS by highly leading questioning.

case, the parents subjected him to intensive interrogation pertaining to "ritual" abuse between the three August interviews which were on consecutive days.

would then disclose in the next interview with Sue Sidey the information elicited by his parents the previous night."

45. Dr Zelas recommended the separate interviewing of brothers to ascertain information about the level of exposure may have had to sexual matters prior to his disclosures, the interviewing of Mr Ellis's mother as to what involvement she had had with the Civic Crèche children, and the interviewing of present teacher²⁵¹:

"Of particular relevance would be to know her views of his creativity, imagination, story-telling/writing ability and whether he tells significant lies or makes up stories about people. Her views of his parents and any pressures she thinks the child might be under may also be helpful."

- 46. At trial the Learned Trial Judge held that the defence were not entitled to cross-examine prosecution witnesses, be they experts or otherwise, as to the reasons for the cancellation of 11 August interview.²⁵²
- 47. continued to question esulting in making numerous further allegations against Mr Ellis. in discussion with compiled a list of the people was said to have claimed abused him²⁵³:
 - "1. \\Asian men
 - Teenagers (five of them)
 - 3. Peter's mother
 - 4. Peter
 - 5. Marie
 - 6. Gaye
 - 7.]an
 - 8. Debbie
 - 9. Bridget
 - 10. Mary
 - 11. 2nd Mary

²⁵⁰ Source: Letter from Dr Karen Zelas to Det. Sgt. John Ell dated 28 August 1992

²⁵¹ Source: Letter from Dr Karen Zelas to Det. Sgt. John Ell dated 28 August 1992

²⁵² Source: Oral Ruling Number 9

²⁵³Source: Notes of see also Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 455

- 12. Stephanie
- 13. Paula
- 14. Robert
- 15. Steven
- 16. Andrew (adult and child)
- 17. Old man
- 18. Michael
- 19. Spike
- 20. Boulderhead
- 21. Dean
- 22. Jack
- 23. Fleur (a creche worker)
- 24. Stuart as Robert ???"
- 48. With respect to the five teenagers, said they were

claimed that Mr Ellis had prothers.²⁵⁴

- 49. was re-interviewed (his fifth interview) on 28
 October 1992. Prior to the interview Ms Sidey established that
 parents had said that Ms Sidey would be asking him "to talk
 about the trap near the creche." 255
- 50. also indicated in her book that she would not allow any challenge to allegations. 256

Site Visits

- 51. took to a number of addresses which she believed were sites of sexual abuse of At trial accepted that she took to the Masonic Lodge and an Avonside Drive address where a person named was said to live, these addresses having been provided by Detective Colin Eade.²⁵⁷
 had also taker of 404 Hereford Street, 348 Armagh Street and throughout the Cramner Centre²⁵⁹.
- 52. In respect of the visit to the Masonic Lodge, recorded in her notes dated 7 August 1992²⁶⁰:

and I took to the Masonic Lodge in Gloucester Street. He recognised it. He said he and other kids would go with Peter (dropped off in a car by Gaye or/and Peter or/and Peter's mother. They would walk down the ramp and through green door heaps of times. Through the green door was a trap you would fall down and lots of tunnels or traps. Other

²⁵⁴ Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 455

²⁵⁵Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p59

²⁵⁶Source: Joy Bander Book, at page 47: "It was paramount, I felt, for me to protect him from people who did not believe him, no matter how fantastic some of the things sounded."

²⁵⁷Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p175

²⁵⁸Source: Notes made by

²⁵⁹Source: Police Statement of dated 16 October 1992, pp4-6

²⁶⁰Source: Notes made by

times the kids would go in to the building through the door at the back flat. When we looked at the back flat looked through the window. A big table was in the room and said, "I've been in their (sic). There is a crack on the table, I recognise it" he said "but the tea-towels aren't hanging up" I looked up at the upstairs window and said, "have you been up there? I've been right through the building. "He got back into the car and locked all the doorgot out and said he wanted to look at the ladder - fire escape. got out with him. A man then opened the said he was looking for the WEA or something like that. The door man saw aw the man. got back in the locked all the doors and he looked very pale and was quiet. I asked him if he knew the man. He said no don't talk to me about it.

53. further questioned about this man two days later, as recorded in notes²⁶¹:

"Sunday 9th, 7.00 pm and I were having a bath. asked was he sure he didn't recognise the man at the building. said yes, he did know him. He was bad to other children. He let Peter in through his door. He was called "old man". I aske was he sure. He said yes, he was sure. He was definitely the man who was bad. He was protecting Peter and that's why he lied to the Police about the green door not being opened for 10 years. I asked him why dian't he tell me on the Friday he knew the man. said his body felt sick and he talks when his body feels better and he is ready to talk. I then asked him if he had to watch TV (tapes) with doing things to kids and other adults. He said, "Yes - lots of times."

- 54. However, the Police ruled out the Masonic Lodge as a site of abuse.²⁶²
- 55. Likewise when taken to the Avonside Drive address²⁶³ and 348 Armagh Street²⁶⁴ purported to recognise aspects of these addresses.

C. SHARING OF INFORMATION

- Both and attended the parents' support group meetings which commenced in early July 1992.²⁶⁵
- 57. At trial claimed that she did not talk to a lot of parents²⁶⁶:

"The contact that I had was with two parents in particular,

We very often talked about our children's behaviour

²⁶¹ Source: Notes made by

²⁶² Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p47

²⁶³Source: Notes made by dated 16 October 1992

²⁶⁴Source: Notes made by

²⁶⁵Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p156 and 174

²⁶⁶Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p179

	and I would speak to either children's names."	_ if	mentioned	their
58.	also claimed at trial that sommunicating with and disclosures.		ot "really" : ntil after	start
	"I felt quite close with and apparently saying some similar things"	because t	heir children	were
59.	on other hand stated that particularly with since mid Ju	had 1992. ²⁶	l been in con	tact,
60.	At depositions accepted that Police not to talk to other parents about refused to go along with that request ²⁶⁹ : "I believed for me personally that I had people that my child had named their ch	what	was saying,	but >
61.	Whilst claimed at trial that sh	e had not had des	disclosed full cribed to her	y to (on
	being tied up. ²⁷¹		•	
62.	was also in contact with support group meetings. At trial received a series of notes made by by various children, but claimed that she up to two weeks after ast Augus	listing	ceive them	she nade
63.	took to an address prompted by information she had receive		, li .273	ved,
64	also met other Crèche pare number of occasions when Mr Ellis appe or pre-deposition matters, including on 4 first august interview. ²⁷⁴	eared at Co	ourt on rem	
	<u> </u>			
	urce: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p179			
	urce: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p496 ource: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p471			
270 Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p471				
271 Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p527				
²⁷² Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p180				
273Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p478				
²⁷⁴ Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p475				

D. OTHER SOURCES OF CONTAMINATION

At the depositions hearing 65. stated that she praised after every interview for talking to Ms Sidey and gave him lots of cuddles.275 indicated in her book that received increased 66. attention from his parents as a result of the allegations.²⁷⁶/ 67. received material rewards, It would also appear that indicating in her book that was taken to the Park Royal after he gave evidence at trial and then taken into town and was bought roller blades.²⁷⁷ 68. ... discussed the allegations openly amongst the stated278:< family, at Trial Q. "At the time of May and June last year did you have some other children of yours living with you at home? A. "Yes. Q. "How old were A. "15 and 13" Q. " Can you tell the Court how you discussed the matter of the creche with the rest of your family?" A. "I had the very strong urge to protect my family from it really. But broke that by talking to my 15 year old son one night when we were out. Prior to that he spoke to my older son ·. After that he spoke to my other son so it was very openly discussed at the end of the day, I quess because it was very painfull to all of us and I had to be mother to help everyone really, they all had pain." 69. elder brother Indeed support person while he gave his eyidence at the trial.²⁷⁹ would also appear that had discussion with Ms Jan Gillander, a social worker who worked with the complainant at the depositions hearing referred to an families. incident where "was raising the issue of Peter to Jan quite openty."280 ²⁷⁵Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions p 441 ²⁷⁶Source: Joy Bander Book, at page 55: "All our efforts went into coping with giving him the love and the feeling of safety that he so badly needed." ²⁷⁷Source: Joy Bander Book, at page 78 - 79 ²⁷⁸Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p 176

²⁷⁹Source: Notes of Evidence at Trial, p162

²⁸⁰ Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p 465

- 71. It also appears from book that discussed the Crèche with other adults.²⁸¹
- 72. indicated at the depositions hearing that commenced counselling with a therapist, namely Ms Gail Taukiri, approximately two weeks after his first interview on 14 May 1992, thereafter attending on a weekly basis. 282 Ms Taukiri indicated that she first saw on 10 July 1992, and on two further occasions prior to his August Specialist Services Unit interviews, and a further ten occasions prior to his October interview. 283 Ms Taukiri did not make notes during any of the therapy sessions. Ms Taukiri stated that she did not discuss with any matters concerning the Crèche inquiry "except anything that raised with me of his own volition." 284
- 73. At the depositions hearing indicated that Ms Taukiri had shown satanic signs and referred to Pamela Hudson in relation to satanic ritual abuse^{2,85}.
 - Q. "This is counsellor who provided you with infor [concerning ritualistic] abuse"
 - A. "This is the counsellor that gave me that said, she wild be, find very useful if Pamela Hudson came over, did not give me any written infor on [ritualistic] abuse and did not sit down and talk to me about it her role was to talk about my son
 - Q. "You agree at some stage she gave or showed your son signs saturic signs and asked him to identify them"
 - A. "Gale showed him those satanic signs at the time when he had disclosed a lot of info to gale, and I did not know about it until she told me about it until after the session"
 - Q. "And is that the material you passes on to the police"

 A/"That is correct."
- 74. Following Mr Ellis's trial was a founding member of the End Ritual Abuse Society and wrote the End Ritual Abuse Society newsletter. 286 It is submitted that the founding of such a society demonstrates obsession with satanic ritualistic abuse. Notwithstanding that this Society was established subsequent to the trial, it is submitted that this information serves to support the existence of a mind-set which Mr Ellis submits is evident from the other material available concerning. There is also

²⁸¹ Source: Joy Bander Book, at page 100: "The friends who cared for and my baby I have known for many years. They were just wonderful support...; felt very safe with them and loved going to stay. He felt so safe that he actually talked to one of them about one of his experiences at the creche."

²⁸²Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p475

²⁸³Source: Affidavit of Ms Gail Taukiri sworn 31 March 1993

²⁸⁴Source: Affidavit of Ms Gail Taukiri sworn 31 March 1993, paragraph 7

²⁸⁵Source: Notes of Evidence at Depositions, p483

²⁸⁶ See for example End Ritual Abuse Newsletter (Vol 2, No.2, June 1995)